
From: Henning, Alan
To: Wu, Jennifer; Carlin, Jayne
CC: Psyk, Christine; Steiner-Riley, Cara; Croxton, Dave; Byrne, Jennifer
Sent: 11/14/2014 3:35:28 PM
Subject: RE: RA CZARA briefing comments

I totally agree with Jenny. We need to define what our commitment is and where we are going to focus that commitment. We have to be ready to respond to the State when our CZARA decision is made.

From: Wu, Jennifer
Sent: Friday, November 14, 2014 11:55 AM
To: Carlin, Jayne
Cc: Psyk, Christine; Steiner-Riley, Cara; Croxton, Dave; Byrne, Jennifer; Henning, Alan
Subject: RA CZARA briefing comments

Hi Jayne - here are my comments on the RA briefing for OR CZARA. I thought it looked very good. I added in language that talked about post-determination and working with the State.

Christine and Dave, can we say strongly that we're committed to putting in the staff resources to working closely with Oregon state agencies to get them to an approval program? If that's the case, that might be good to convey to Dennis (and eventually, the State).

I really do think we have some ideas, direction, and flexibility to work with the State on getting them to an approvable program sooner rather than later. I think that should be a high priority, would be good for Oregon and the environment, and could yield a lot of positive results on a faster timeframe than otherwise even though we (especially the Watershed Unit) are tight on resources. If that's the case, I think it would be good for us to talk about our level of commitment post-determination to Dennis and the State in a strong and pro-active way. For instance, if the State were interested, we could schedule meetings topic-by-topic with timelines with the ultimate goal of approvability. We could even start with pesticides as I think that's very doable. Perhaps that messaging would take the focus off the disapproval and more on us working with the State to get to an approvable program. - Jenny